§ 405.984 Effect of a revised determination or decision.

- (a) *Initial determinations*. The revision of an initial determination is binding upon all parties unless a party files a written request for a redetermination that is accepted and processed in accordance with § 405.940 through § 405.958.
- (b) Redeterminations. The revision of a redetermination is binding upon all parties unless a party files a written request for a QIC reconsideration that is accepted and processed in accordance with § 405.960 through § 405.978.
- (c) Reconsiderations. The revision of a reconsideration is binding upon all parties unless a party files a written request for an ALJ hearing that is accepted and processed in accordance with §405.1000 through §405.1064.
- (d) ALJ Hearing decisions. The revision of a hearing decision is binding upon all parties unless a party files a written request for a MAC review that is accepted and processed in accordance with § 405.1100 through § 405.1130.
- (e) MAC review. The revision of a MAC review is binding upon all parties unless a party files a civil action in which a Federal district court accepts jurisdiction and issues a decision.
- (f) Appeal of only the portion of the determination or decision revised by the reopening. Only the portion of the initial determination, redetermination, reconsideration, or hearing decision revised by the reopening may be subsequently appealed.
- (g) Effect of a revised determination or decision. A revised determination or decision is binding unless it is appealed or otherwise reopened.

§ 405.986 Good cause for reopening.

- (a) Establishing good cause. Good cause may be established when—
- (1) There is new and material evidence that—
- (i) Was not available or known at the time of the determination or decision; and
- (ii) May result in a different conclusion; or
- (2) The evidence that was considered in making the determination or decision clearly shows on its face that an obvious error was made at the time of the determination or decision.

- (b) Change in substantive law or interpretative policy. A change of legal interpretation or policy by CMS in a regulation, CMS ruling, or CMS general instruction, or a change in legal interpretation or policy by SSA in a regulation, SSA ruling, or SSA general instruction in entitlement appeals. whether made in response to judicial precedent or otherwise, is not a basis for reopening a determination or hearing decision under this section. This provision does not preclude contractors from conducting reopenings to effectuate coverage decisions issued under the authority granted by section 1869(f)
- (c) Third party payer error. A request to reopen a claim based upon a third party payer's error in making a primary payment determination when Medicare processed the claim in accordance with the information in its system of records or on the claim form does not constitute good cause for reopening.

[70 FR 11472, Mar. 8, 2005, as amended at 70 FR 37703. June 30, 2005]

EXPEDITED ACCESS TO JUDICIAL REVIEW

§ 405.990 Expedited access to judicial review.

- (a) Process for expedited access to judicial review. (1) For purposes of this section, a "review entity" means an entity of up to three reviewers who are ALJs or members of the Departmental Appeals Board (DAB), as determined by the Secretary.
- (2) In order to obtain expedited access to judicial review (EAJR), a review entity must certify that the Medicare Appeals Council (MAC) does not have the authority to decide the question of law or regulation relevant to the matters in dispute and that there is no material issue of fact in dispute.
- (3) A party may make a request for EAJR only once with respect to a question of law or regulation for a specific matter in dispute in an appeal.
- (b) Conditions for making the expedited appeals request. (1) A party may request EAJR in place of an ALJ hearing or MAC review if the following conditions are met:

§405.990

- (i) A QIC has made a reconsideration determination and the party has filed a request for—
- (A) An ALJ hearing in accordance with §405.1002 and a final decision of the ALJ has not been issued;
- (B) MAC review in accordance with §405.1102 and a final decision of the MAC has not been issued; or
- (ii) The appeal has been escalated from the QIC to the ALJ level after the period described in §405.970(a) and §405.970(b) has expired, and the QIC does not issue a final action within the time frame described in §405.970(e).
- (2) The requestor is a party, as defined in paragraph (e) of this section.
- (3) The amount remaining in controversy meets the requirements of §405.1006(b) or (c).
- (4) If there is more than one party to the reconsideration, hearing, or MAC review, each party concurs, in writing, with the request for the EAJR.
- (5) There are no material issues of fact in dispute.
- (c) Content of the request for EAJR. The request for EAJR must—
- (1) Allege that there are no material issues of fact in dispute and identify the facts that the requestor considers material and that are not disputed; and
- (2) Assert that the only factor precluding a decision favorable to the requestor is—
- (i) A statutory provision that is unconstitutional, or a provision of a regulation or national coverage determination and specify the statutory provision that the requestor considers unconstitutional or the provision of a regulation or a national coverage determination that the requestor considers invalid, or
- (ii) A CMS Ruling that the requester considers invalid;
- (3) Include a copy of any QIC reconsideration and of any ALJ hearing decision that the requester has received;
- (4) If any QIC reconsideration or ALJ hearing decision was based on facts that the requestor is disputing, state why the requestor considers those facts to be immaterial; and
- (5) If any QIC reconsideration or ALJ hearing decision was based on a provision of a law, regulation, national coverage determination or CMS Ruling in addition to the one the requestor con-

- siders unconstitutional or invalid, a statement as to why further administrative review of how that provision applies to the facts is not necessary.
- (d) Place and time for an EAJR request—(1) Method and place for filing request. The requestor may include an EAJR request in his or her request for an ALJ hearing or MAC review, or, if an appeal is already pending with an ALJ or the MAC, file a written EAJR request with the ALJ hearing office or MAC where the appeal is being considered. The ALJ hearing office or MAC forwards the request to the review entity within 5 calendar days of receipt.
- (2) Time of filing request. The party may file a request for the EAJR—
- (i) If the party has requested a hearing, at any time before receipt of the notice of the ALJ's decision; or
- (ii) If the party has requested MAC review, at any time before receipt of notice of the MAC's decision.
- (e) Parties to the EAJR. The parties to the EAJR are the persons or entities who were parties to the QIC's reconsideration determination and, if applicable, to the ALJ hearing.
- (f) Determination on EAJR request. (1) The review entity described in paragraph (a) of this section will determine whether the request for EAJR meets all of the requirements of paragraphs (b), (c), and (d) of this section.
- (2) Within 60 days after the date the review entity receives a request and accompanying documents and materials meeting the conditions in paragraphs (b), (c), and (d) of this section, the review entity will issue either a certification in accordance to paragraph (g) of this section or a denial of the request.
- (3) A determination by the review entity either certifying that the requirements for EAJR are met pursuant to paragraph (g) of this section or denying the request is final and not subject to review by the Secretary.
- (4) If the review entity fails to make a determination within the time frame specified in paragraph (f)(2) of this section, then the requestor may bring a civil action in Federal district court within 60 days of the end of the time frame.
- (g) Certification by the review entity. If a party meets the requirements for the

EAJR, the review entity certifies in writing that—

- (1) The material facts involved in the claim are not in dispute;
- (2) Except as indicated in paragraph (g)(3) of this section, the Secretary's interpretation of the law is not in dispute;
- (3) The sole issue(s) in dispute is the constitutionality of a statutory provision, or the validity of a provision of a regulation, CMS Ruling, or national coverage determination;
- (4) But for the provision challenged, the requestor would receive a favorable decision on the ultimate issue (such as whether a claim should be paid); and
- (5) The certification by the review entity is the Secretary's final action for purposes of seeking expedited judicial review.
- (h) Effect of certification by the review entity. If an EAJR request results in a certification described in paragraph (g) of this section—
- (1) The party that requested the EAJR is considered to have waived any right to completion of the remaining steps of the administrative appeals process regarding the matter certified.
- (2) The requestor has 60 days, beginning on the date of the review entity's certification within which to bring a civil action in Federal district court.
- (3) The requestor must satisfy the requirements for venue under section 1869(b)(2)(C)(iii) of the Act, as well as the requirements for filing a civil action in a Federal district court under \$405.1136(a) and \$405.1136(c) through \$405.1136(f).
- (i) Rejection of EAJR. (1) If a request for EAJR request does not meet all the conditions set out in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section, or if the review entity does not certify a request for EAJR, the review entity advises in writing all parties that the request has been denied, and returns the request to the ALJ hearing office or the MAC, which will treat it as a request for hearing or for MAC review, as appropriate.
- (2) Whenever a review entity forwards a rejected EAJR request to an ALJ hearing office or the MAC, the appeal is considered timely filed and the 90-day decision making time frame be-

- gins on the day the request is received by the hearing office or the MAC.
- (j) Interest on any amounts in controversy. (1) If a provider or supplier is granted judicial review in accordance with this section, the amount in controversy, if any, is subject to annual interest beginning on the first day of the first month beginning after the 60-day period as determined in accordance with paragraphs (f)(4) or (h)(2) of this section, as applicable.
- (2) The interest is awarded by the reviewing court and payable to a prevailing party.
- (3) The rate of interest is equal to the rate of interest applicable to obligations issued for purchase by the Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Fund for the month in which the civil action authorized under this subpart is commenced.
- (4) No interest awarded in accordance with this paragraph shall be income or cost for purposes of determining reimbursement due to providers or suppliers under Medicare.

[70 FR 11472, Mar. 8, 2005, as amended at 70 FR 37703, June 30, 2005]

ALJ HEARINGS

§ 405.1000 Hearing before an ALJ: General rule.

- (a) If a party is dissatisfied with a QIC's reconsideration or if the adjudication period specified in §405.970 for the QIC to complete its reconsideration has elapsed, the party may request a hearing.
- (b) A hearing may be conducted inperson, by video-teleconference (VTC), or by telephone. At the hearing, the parties may submit evidence (subject to the restrictions in §405.1018 and §405.1028), examine the evidence used in making the determination under review, and present and/or question witnesses.
- (c) In some circumstances, a representative of CMS or its contractor, including the QIC, QIO, fiscal intermediary or carrier, may participate in or join the hearing as a party. (see § 405.1010 and § 405.1012).
- (d) The ALJ issues a decision based on the hearing record.
- (e) If all parties to the hearing waive their right to appear at the hearing in